

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,649	06/13/2006	Vladimir Pekarek	J187-032 US	5024
21706 NOTARO & N	7590 07/09/200 MICHALOS P.C.	9	EXAM	UNER
100 DUTCH HILL ROAD SUITE 110 ORANGEBURG, NY 10962-2100			FIORITO, JAMES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/582,649	PEKAREK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES A. FIORITO	1793		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13o(a). If no event, nowever, may a reply be limited their SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
   Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 March 2009</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			

4)🛛	Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)🛛	Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

# Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a)∏ All	b) Some * c) None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Patient	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date  5) Abilities of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:	
C. Defection of Francisco Company		_

Application/Control Number: 10/582,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
applicant regards as the invention. The metes and bounds of the limitation "nascent
form" are indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hagenmaier US 5276250
   As set forth in the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,649 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

### Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/15/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Hagenmaier does not teach the use of a hydrogen donor, carbon, and at least one additional reducing substance. In response, Hagenmaier teaches decomposition of polyhalogenated compounds by contacting them with at least one of the group consisting of Ca, Ba, Zn, Ni, Ti, Cu, Fe, Al, Pd, Pt, V, W, Mo. Rh. or Cr or an oxide silicate or aluminate thereof. See Column 2 Line 60-61. At least one of these could be considered an additional reducing substance. The combination of these metals with Cu can be in at least the oxide, carbonate, or salt composition. See Column 6 Line 28. Therefore, at least one of these metals disclosed would constitute an additional reducing substance required by the claims. Further, even if those metal compounds could not be considered an additional reducing substance, the fly ash stream would contain at least a portion of carbon monoxide from non-fully combusted carbon, which could also be considered an additional reducing substance. With respect to the hydrogen donor, Hagenmaier teaches that the process may be performed in the presence of water. See Column 4 Line 2. With respect to carbon, the fly ash stream would contain at least some carbon, or in the alternative, it would at least be obvious to use carbon in the process to absorb halogenated byproducts of the process, as in Example 12, where Hagenmaier requires the stream be passed over highly reactive cartridges of carbon. See Column 13 Lines 1-3.
- In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies

Application/Control Number: 10/582,649

Art Unit: 1793

(i.e., the oxidation state or the ox-redox potency) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES A. FIORITO whose telephone number is (571)272-7426. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,649 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James A Fiorito/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 /Wayne Langel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793